B. line 2 add as 154

Of all the former Commission staff members, perhaps Liebeler has been loudest and longest in his callmor for the realease of secret documents, His clammor is such that the press has come to believe it. Few things serve his personal interest less. He is neither foolish nor serious; he is getting away with it, as Congressmen Ford, President Johnson and an assortment of uninformed columnists and aother apologists for what the government did that cannot be excused are getting away with complaints that no "new "evidence has been adduced. This is both untrue and entirely immaterial, There is nothing wrong with an enormous volume of evidence that was simply ignored.

Michael J. Berling wrote a series of articles for the New York "Post" under the title "The Warren Report and Its Critics". From the title one might assume that Berlin analyzes the Report. He does not, he didn't intend to, and in vuewing me he made it clear his interest and that of his paper was in those writing critically about the Report, not its content or research to determine the validity of criticism or the lack of it. Berlin's is a "sage" format. From his typographic ~~XXXXXXXX~~ Olympus he can assault and insult the "critics" as though he had done the reequisite work required to validate an intelligent opinion of what they had done.

To Liebeler, however, he was the benevolent Zeus.

"The third avenue to a new inquiry, "Berlin wrote, in concluding the series, has been hinted at by Wesley J. Liebeler, a former member of the Commission staff ~~and~~ who has now embarked upon a private inquiry into the assassination evidence and isevery bit as frustrated by the inaccessibility of the files as are the critics".

(berlin's dvotion devotion to impartiality weakened by the time his series ended. Had it not he might have told his readers that Liebeler procalims that now if the time for both sides to be told, and that he is going to do it. But

telling this to an intelligent New York readership would suggest to too many that this "both sides" approach is what they and all Americans expected of Liebeler and everyone elese on the Commission in preparation for and in the Report. Berlin also neglected ~~what might catch the eye of~~ mention of the sponsorhsip and subsidy of Liebeler's "inqyiry" by the University of California - Governor Reagan, take note!)

"Frustrating! I think it's a goddam outrage," Berlin quotes Liebeler, "I can't even look at my own goddam memos (the ones he gave Edward Epstein, perhaps!) any more...Seeing the way the government people think, it's obvious that there would be almost an instinctive desire to withhold...."

Noble outburst! Liebeler against suppression! Out with everything!

Really! What does the record show!

There will be many specific answers in this book, especially in his interrogations of the New Orleans witnesses, in his own works and in his wo,own own lack of words. His silence is remarkable eloquest.

So the reader can understand from the very beginning, so that this story of Oswald in New Orleans can be in a comprehensible perspective, let me anticipate the ~~both sides interrogation~~ interrogation of Lawyer Dean Adams Andrews by "Both Sides" Liebeler, in a simple context: Congressman Ford's "nasty rumor" and Liebeler's dedication to exposing what is suppressed. Is there anything in the Commission's evidence aside from the Meller report bearing on the real nature of Oswald's activities that Liebeler should have used when Andrews testified that Oswald was sent to him for legal assistance!

Oswald got himself arrested in New Orleans ~~pretending to be pro-Castro.~~ giving out pro-Castro handbills he had made. He got an extensive press through it, and he kept and used his clippings. But when he was arrested in Dallas and the police ransacked the home of Ruth Paine, where he kept his property, where his wife and children live and he spent weekends, am among the things they obtained is a "Slip of paper containing names Carlos J. Bringuier Miguel M. Cruz, and Lt. William Gaillot". This is Police Exhibit Number 231. It is

c

not secret. It is printed (24H335). If there is anything Oswald did not need to remind him of his a successful in getting arrested when he so wanted it is the names of two of the three Cubans who made it possible and that of the Lieutenant of police.

Had Liebeler been at all interested in the "old" evidence, still entirelt unshopworn, he might have conducted an inquiry for the people of the country as a Commission lawyer to learn why Oswald wanted to have these names record outside his ample press notices. It would be especially interesting if it turned out that what is most logical, that he wrote the names down before he got his press, turned out to be so.

If liebeler wanted really virginal material, he had Oswald's pocket address book. The Commission printed it in fascimile in the very first volume of its exhibits and one of the first ofnth exhibits. It is Exhibit 18 in Volume li 16. On the upper half of page 67 appear the following unnoticed entries:

" Cuban Student Derectorate ~~(Sic)~~ 107 Decatur St New Orleans, La Carlos Bringuier."

Then there is a line under which the following is written:

"N.O.City Editor 'Cowan' David Crawford reporter"

And another line, followed by:

"117 Camp ~~Int~~ 107 Decatur 1032 Canal". ~~Opposite the two~~ In the right-hand margin opposite the first two address appears, " cuban exile store".

The bottom listing on this page is that of a left-wing group.

These quoted ~~listing~~ items are as in point as anything could have been during Liebeler's quewtionings, particularly of Andrews and Bringuier, but also of witnesses he never called.

The top item is the name and address of Bringuier's organization as, in the bottom one, it is the address of his store. Both are at 107 Decatur Street New Orleans. What follows, however, is exciting. Wht should Oswald have the

d

name of a vity editor and a reporter in his pocket address book (that he was careful to duplicate parts of for other purposes, as we shall see). Again, was this before the expedition that became successful once Bringuier attacked it. The press was so extensive after Oswald's arrest, he needed no handwritten rem inders.

Two addresses remain. Liebeler, having ignored this excellent sample of why he now wails he longs for, "new evidence" (and how much newer can it be if it has beer never been used.) Asked no one any questions about them. He coud could, of course, have the used the city directory. But by this time he had been on the Commission to have caught the FBI phobia about using directories and phone books.

Where Bringuier "found" Oswald, where his attack on the handbill operatin operation that succeeded in getting Oswald fined when the gulity Bringuier entered a plea plea of"not guilty" and the innocent Oswald pleaded "guilty", took place, was outside the old International Trade Mart Building. This building will become more impor important in our narrative. But is occipies a series of number of Camp Street at that point. One of the business listed in the directory for that address is a vending-machine company, Vendo". This is likely an entirelt innocent thing, but how comforting it would be if Liebeler had anticipated the question that now existst exists and gotten the answer.

It would also have comforted us to know what is or might be at 1032 Canal Street.